

**UNITED STATES  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**

**BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR**

<b>In the Matter of:</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Dana Transport,</b>	)	<b>Docket No. RCRA-02-2010-7112</b>
	)	
<b>Respondent.</b>	)	

**PREHEARING ORDER**

As you have been previously notified, I am designated to preside over this proceeding. This proceeding will be governed by the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits, 40 C.F.R. §§ 22.1 *et seq.* ("Rules of Practice"). The parties are advised to familiarize themselves with the applicable statute(s) and the Rules of Practice. An informal Practice Manual and significant decisions issued by the EPA Office of Administrative Law Judges are accessible on the world wide web at: <http://www.epa.gov/oalj>.

Agency policy strongly supports settlement and the procedures regarding documenting settlements are set forth in Section 22.18 of the Rules of Practice, 40 C.F.R. § 22.18. If settlement discussions in this proceeding have already been undertaken, the parties are commended for taking the initiative to resolve this matter informally and expeditiously. Each party is reminded that pursuing this matter through a hearing and possible appeals will require the expenditure of significant amounts of time and financial resources. The parties should also realistically consider the risk of not prevailing in the proceeding despite such expenditures. A settlement allows the parties to control the outcome of the case, whereas a judicial decision takes such control away. With such thoughts in mind the parties are directed to engage in a settlement conference on or before **February 11, 2011**, and attempt to reach an amicable resolution of this matter. Complainant shall file a status report regarding settlement on or before **February 18, 2011**. If the case is settled, the fully-executed Consent Agreement and Final Order should be filed no later than **March 11, 2011**, with a copy sent to the undersigned.

Should a Consent Agreement not be finalized on or before the latter date, the parties must prepare for hearing and shall strictly comply with the prehearing requirements of this Order.

**Prehearing Exchange.** This Order is issued pursuant to Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice. Accordingly, it is directed that the following prehearing exchange take place between the parties:

1. Each party shall file with the Regional Hearing Clerk, serve on the opposing party, and serve on the Presiding Judge:
  - (A) a list of names of the expert and other witnesses intended to be called at hearing, identifying each as a fact witness or an expert witness, a brief narrative summary of their expected testimony, and a curriculum vita or resume for each identified expert witness, or a statement that no witnesses will be called;
  - (B) copies of all documents and exhibits intended to be introduced into evidence, identified as "Complainant's" or "Respondent's" exhibit, as appropriate, and numbered with Arabic numerals (e.g., CX 1 or RX 1); and
  - (C) a statement explaining its views as to the appropriate place for the hearing and an estimate of the time needed to present its direct case. See Sections 22.21(d) and 22.19(d) of the Rules of Practice. Also, state whether translation services are necessary in regard to the testimony of any witness(es), and, if so, state the language to be translated.
2. In addition, Complainant shall submit the following as part of its Initial Prehearing Exchange:
  - (A) a copy of any report(s) of the inspection on July 10, 2009, as referenced in Paragraphs 16 and 17 of the Complaint, and a copy, as relevant to the allegations in the Complaint, of any photographs, videos, site maps, illustrations, analytical results and/or field notes created or taken by the inspector(s) during the inspection;
  - (B) a copy of any documents in support of the allegations in Paragraphs 24, 25, 33, and 34 of the Complaint;
  - (C) a copy of any documents in support of the proposed penalty; and
  - (D) a statement regarding whether the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, 44 U.S.C. §§ 3501 et seq. ("PRA"), applies to this proceeding, whether there is a current Office of Management and Budget control number involved herein and whether the provisions of Section 3512 of the PRA are applicable in this case.
3. In addition, Respondent shall submit the following as part of its Prehearing Exchange:
  - (A) a statement as to the existence of and relationship among "Dana Transport," "Dana Transport, Inc.," Dana Puerto Rico," "Dana Corporation," and "Dana Container";

(B) a separate statement as to each of Paragraphs 3, 4, 5, 12, 13, and 24 of the Complaint, as to whether Respondent admits or denies each such Paragraph;

(C) as to each sentence of Paragraph 33, a statement as to whether Respondent admits or denies the allegations in each such sentence;

(D) a statement as to whether Respondent admits or denies Paragraph 34.a of the Complaint and a statement as to whether Respondent admits or denies Paragraph 34.b of the Complaint;

(E) a copy of any documents other than those attached to the Answer, in support of the assertions in the Answer;

(F) if Respondent takes the position that Respondent is unable to pay the proposed penalty, a copy of any and all documents it intends to rely upon in support of such position; and

(G) if Respondent takes the position that the proposed penalty should be reduced or eliminated on any other grounds, a copy of any and all documents it intends to rely upon in support such position.

4. Finally, Complainant shall submit as part of its Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange a statement and/or any documents in response to Respondent's Prehearing Exchange as to provisions 3(A) through 3(G) above.

The prehearing exchanges called for above shall be filed in seriatim fashion, pursuant to the following schedule:

<b>March 11, 2011</b>	Complainant's Initial Prehearing Exchange
<b>April 1, 2011</b>	Respondent's Prehearing Exchange
<b>April 15, 2011</b>	Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange

Section 22.19(a) of the Rules of Practice provides that, except in accordance with Section 22.22(a), any document not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be admitted into evidence, and any witness whose name and testimony summary are not included in the prehearing exchange shall not be allowed to testify. Therefore, each party should thoughtfully prepare its prehearing exchange.

**Supplement to Prehearing Exchange.** Any addition of a proposed witness or exhibit to the prehearing exchange shall be filed with an accompanying motion to supplement the prehearing exchange.

**Default and Opportunity for a Hearing.** The Complaint herein gave Respondent notice and opportunity for a hearing, in accordance with Section 554 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. §§ 554 *et seq* (“APA”). The response to the Complaint either contains or is construed as containing a request for a hearing. In this regard, Section 554(c)(2) of the APA sets out that a hearing be conducted under Section 556 of the APA. Section 556(d) provides that a party is entitled to present its case or defense by oral or documentary evidence, to submit rebuttal evidence, and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts. Thus, Respondent has the right to defend against Complainant’s charges by way of direct evidence, rebuttal evidence or through cross-examination of Complainant’s witness. Respondent is entitled to elect any or all three means to pursue its defenses. If Respondent intends to elect only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant’s witnesses and to forgo the presentation of direct and/or rebuttal evidence, Respondent shall serve a statement to that effect on or before the date for filing its prehearing exchange. **Respondent is hereby notified that its failure to either comply with the prehearing exchange requirements set forth herein or to state that it is electing only to conduct cross-examination of Complainant’s witnesses, can result in the entry of a default judgment against it. Complainant is notified that its failure to file its prehearing exchange in a timely manner can result in a dismissal of the case with prejudice.**

**The mere pendency of settlement negotiations or even the existence of a settlement in principle does not constitute a basis for failing to strictly comply with the prehearing exchange requirements. Only the filing with the Hearing Clerk of a fully-executed Consent Agreement and Final Order, or an order of the judge, excuses noncompliance with filing deadlines.**

**Filing and Service.** A document is “filed” when the Regional Hearing Clerk *receives* it. Also, the parties must serve the Presiding Judge so that she *receives* it on or before the due date. To ensure that the Presiding Judge receives a document in a timely manner, a courtesy copy may be sent by facsimile or email to the Office of Administrative Law Judges, but a hard copy must be mailed also. The facsimile number for the Office of Administrative Law Judges is (202) 565-0044, and the email address is oaljfilng@epa.gov. A certificate of service must be attached to all filed documents.

Prehearing exchange information as well as any motions or other papers to be filed in this proceeding shall be addressed as follows if sent by mail:

The Honorable Susan L. Biro, Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Office of Administrative Law Judges  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Mail Code 1900L  
Washington, DC 20460

Hand-delivered packages transported by Federal Express or any delivery service that x-rays their

packages as part of its routine security procedures may be delivered directly to:

The Honorable Susan L. Biro, Chief Administrative Law Judge  
Office of Administrative Law Judges  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1099 14th Street, N.W., Suite 350  
Washington, DC 20005

(\*For delivery service only; mail sent to the above address will be returned.)

**The parties are advised NOT to include, attach or refer to any terms of settlement offers or agreements in any document submitted to the Presiding Judge, and no copies of Consent Agreements and Final Orders shall be submitted, or attached to any document submitted, to the Presiding Judge except those that are fully executed and filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.**

**Contact Information.** Telephone contact may be made with my legal assistant, Maria Whiting-Beale at (202) 564-6259 to ask whether a document has been received or issued. Email or telephone contact may be made with my staff attorney, Lisa Knight, Esq., at (202) 564-6291 (knight.lisa@epa.gov), for procedural questions.

**Courtesy Copies.** If any party wishes to receive, by e-mail or facsimile, an expedited courtesy copy of decisions and substantive orders issued in this proceeding, the party shall submit a request for such copies by letter addressed to Maria Whiting-Beale at one of the addresses above. The letter shall include the case docket number, the party's e-mail address or facsimile number, and a statement as to whether the party requests expedited courtesy copies of (a) the initial decision and/or any orders on motion for accelerated decision or dismissal, or (b) all decisions and substantive orders. The undersigned's office will endeavor to comply with such requests, but does not guarantee the party's receipt of expedited courtesy copies.

**Motions.** Prior to filing any motion, the moving party must contact the other party or parties to determine whether the other party has any objection to the granting of the relief sought in the motion, and the motion shall state the position of the other party or parties. The mere consent of the other parties to the relief sought does not assure that the motion will be granted. Furthermore, all motions must be submitted in sufficient time to permit the filing of a response by the other party and/or the issuance of a ruling on the motion before any relevant deadline set by this or any subsequent order. Sections 22.16(b) and 22.7(c) of the Rules of Practice allow a 15-day response period for motions, with an additional 5 days added thereto if the pleading is served by mail. Motions not filed in a timely manner may not be considered. **If either party intends to file any dispositive motion regarding liability, such as a motion for accelerated decision or motion to dismiss under 40 C.F.R. § 22.20(a), it shall be filed within thirty days after the due date for Complainant's Rebuttal Prehearing Exchange.**

Pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.16(d), a party may submit a written request for oral argument upon filing a motion, a response to a motion, or a reply. The requesting party shall propose an appropriate location for the argument. The Office of Administrative Law Judges recently acquired access to state of the art videoconferencing technology, and strongly encourages the parties to consider utilizing such technology for oral arguments on motions so as to minimize the expenditure of time and monetary resources in connection with such arguments. A request for oral argument may be granted, in the undersigned's discretion, where further clarification and elaboration of arguments would be of assistance in ruling on the motion.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Susan L. Bilo  
Chief Administrative Law Judge

Dated: January 28, 2011  
Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of Dana Transport, Respondent  
Docket No. RCRA-02-2010-7112

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing **Prehearing Order**, dated January 28, 2011, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria Whiting-Beale  
Staff Assistant

Dated: January 28, 2011

Original And One Copy By Pouch Mail To:

Karen Maples  
Regional Hearing Clerk  
U.S. EPA  
290 Broadway, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10007-1866

Copy By Regular Mail To:

Hector Velez Cruz, Esquire  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. EPA  
Centro Europa Building, Suite 417  
1492 Ponce de Leon Avenue  
San Juan, PR 00907-4127

Ronald B. Dana, President  
Dana Container  
210 East Essex Avenue  
Avenel, NJ 07001

**UNITED STATES  
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY  
BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATOR**

<b>In the Matter of</b>	)	
	)	
<b>Jose P. Jazmin &amp; Betty Jazmin,</b>	)	<b>Docket No. UIC-09-2010-0006</b>
<b>Trustees of the Jazmin Family Trust,</b>	)	
	)	
	)	
<b>Respondent</b>	)	

**ORDER INITIATING ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE  
RESOLUTION PROCESS AND APPOINTING NEUTRAL**

Pursuant to the request of the parties, Judge Spencer T. Nissen, is hereby designated as a neutral to initiate and conduct such processes as may facilitate a settlement of this proceeding.

The following procedures shall apply:

1. The Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) process will be conducted in a confidential manner. The Judge who serves as the neutral will not disclose to anyone the contents of any of the parties' ADR communications.
2. For the ADR process to be effective, the persons communicating with the neutral must either have authority to commit his or her side to a settlement, or have ready access to someone with such authority.
3. Unless terminated earlier at the request of either party, the ADR process shall automatically terminate on **March 28, 2011**. An extension of up to 60 days may be granted by the undersigned upon request of the ADR neutral, but in no event shall ADR continue for longer than 4 months. At that time, if no settlement has been reached, the case will be remanded to the litigation Judge to proceed with the litigation process in an expedited manner.
4. A party requesting termination of this process shall so advise the assigned neutral Judge either orally or in writing. The neutral Judge shall forward the request to the Chief Administrative Law Judge. The dispute resolution process initiated by this Order shall terminate upon order of the Chief Administrative Law Judge.

5. At the termination of the ADR process, the parties will be sent a questionnaire to elicit their views and the experience with the process. The contents of individual questionnaires will be kept confidential and will be made available to the neutrals and others only in a composite format.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S. Biro", is written over a horizontal line.

Susan L. Biro


Chief Administrative Law Judge

Dated: January 26, 2011  
Washington, DC

In the Matter of Jose P. Jazmin & Betty Jazmin, Trustees of the Jazmin Family Trust., Respondents  
Docket No. UIC-09-2010-0006

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that the foregoing **Order Initiating Alternative Dispute Resolution Process And Appointing Neutral**, dated January 26, 2011, was sent this day in the following manner to the addressees listed below.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Maria Whiting-Beale  
Staff Assistant

Dated: January 26, 2011

Original And One Copy By Pouch Mail To:

Corazon Tolentino  
Acting Regional Hearing Clerk  
U.S. EPA  
75 Hawthorne Street, ORC-1  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Copy By Pouch Mail To:

Brett Moffatt, Esquire  
Office of Regional Counsel  
U.S. EPA  
75 Hawthorne Street, ORC-2  
San Francisco, CA 94105

Copy By Regular Mail To:

Jeffrey W. Allen, Esquire  
Van de Poel, Levy & Allen, LLP  
1600 South Main Plaza, Suite 325  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596